"POSITIVES" OF PUSHY ACOURANTS; AS IN THE WAY PASTWORKS ARE WIPED OUT BY THE DATE THEY WERE MADE; AS IN THE WAY THE "NEW" (OF "NEW MUSIC") IS CONSTANTLYA NNOUNCINGITSALTERNATIVE TO THE MOSTRECENT BIGBANG BY WIMPILYDRIPPINGOOZING, SANGUINE, ROMANCING WORDS OF THE DAY; SOUNDS OF THE DAY; NOW IN THE BEGINNIN G; THERE IS, WAS, NO OTHER DAY. SOMETIMES NEW WAVES HAVE VERY LITTLE TO DO W ITH ACSTOUICS, AND DISAPPEARANCE ACTS ARE HAPPENING TOOF AST TO BEGIN TO KNO W WHAT WASN'T HEARD. BUT RADIC NOTICES THESE ARE NOT THE ONES WHO GO TO THE CATECOMES; WHO DENOUNCE THEIR OWN PREVIOUS WORK IN ORDER TO TAKE NEW STEPS; WHO ADMIT HISTORY, ——BUT BARELY; WHO ADMIRE BUT DO NOT DEPEND ON PRECEDENT.

BUT WHAT IS IT THAT RAINS IF NOT RAIN? I REALLY MUST TELL YOU A PERSONAL STORY.

SOMETIME AGO I DID A SEMINAR AT OBERLIN IN COMPOSITIONAL LINGUISTICS. PLAYED A VIDEO TAPE WORK ENTITLED "GIVE & TAKE"; --- (ONE OF A NUMBER OF GROU P COMPOSITIONAL PTOCEDURES WORKED ON BY NMCE DURING ITS RESIDENCY AT OME-UCS D, 1972-5. A SO-CALLED "FLASH" COMPOSITION, --- ((FLASH COMPOSITION= WITHOUT-DELIBERATION: (1) RESPOND TO A STIMULUS-GENERATED 'FLASH'; (2) FORMULATE SET OF PERFORMANCE INSTRUCTIONS IMMEDIATELY; (3) PRESENT INSTRUCTIONS TO PER FORMERS; (4) NEAR-IMMEDIATE PERFORMANCE OF INSTRUCTIONS; (5) COMPOSITIONAL R ESULT; IN THIS CASE A VIDEOTAPE)) . THE RULES WERE VERY SIMPLE: (1) IMAGINE A CIRCLE HAVING A DIAMETER OF, SAY, 3'; (2) EACH PERFORMER PLACES ONE OR MORE PERSONAL OBJECTS OF HIS/HER OWN CHOSING INTO THE CIRCLE; (3) THE COMPOSITION WILL CONSIST, QUALITATIVELY, OF THE MANNER IN WHICH THE OBJECTS ARE PLACED IN THE CIRCLE, AND RETRIEVED FROM THE CIRCLE; (4) THE COMPOSITION WILL CONSI ST, QUANTITATIVELY, OF PLACING THESE OBJECTS IN THE CIRCLE, AND TAKING THEM O UT OF THE CIRCLE. GIVE-TAKE WAS PERFORMED ON A GYMNISTS MAT. SOME OF THE OB JECTS WERE CUPS, KEYS, SOCKS, RINGS, COINS). A LONG DISCUSSION FOLLOWED. AT A CERTAIN POINT, A GENTLEMAN WHO HAD BEEN SILENT DURING THE PROCEEDINGS ST OOD UP AND SAID: "THE WORK SUCKS". WE TALKED ABOUT SUCKING; (HE COULD SEE IT WAS THE WRONG CHOICE OF WORDS). THEN HE SAID "THE WORK HAD NO FORM".I SHOWED HIM THE FORM; (NO COMMENT). THEN HE SAID"IT WASN'T POLYPHONIC". I SHOWED HIM THE POLYPHONIC; (O COMMENT). HE PROCEEDED THROUGH HIS LEXICAL LITANY OF ACQU IRED TERMINOLOGIES; EACH TIME NULLED PATIENTLY; (0,0,0, COMMENTS). , HE SIMPLY SAID, "WELL, IT JUST ISN, T SCHUBERT". AFTER AGREEING WITH HIM, T SHIT HIT THE FAN FOR ME, AND I ASKED: "WHAT IN THE HELL IS SCHUBERTT DDOINGG IN GIVE-TAKES LIVING ROOM, ANYWAY"?"I DON'T REMEMBER INVITING HIM TO THIS PA RTY"; (O COMMENTS) .THEN I SAID "IF YOU THINK SCHUBERT SHOULD HAVE BEEN IN TH IS WORK, BY WHICH YOU JUDGED IT, THEN YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND HIM EITHER"; (O COMMENTS). (IT'S TOO BAD KELAH COULDN'T BE CALLED IN FROM THE EAST OR THE WE ST FOR HELP IN THIS MATTER, BY SOOTHING HIM WITH THE LA-THROATING CALLS OF T HE BIRD AND THE ELEPHANT; THE LA OF THE HONEY-MAKING SOUND OF BEES; INNERMOST WOMB-GUT SOUNDS OF THE APE; FROM THE SWIM-BLATTERING SOUNDS OF THE FISH LA, THE LAUGHING GUT LA). THE SENSE OF AN ABSOLUTE PSYCHICAL DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE HUMAN AND BEAST, (SO PREVALENT IN CULT, BUT I THINK ONLY FOR A WHILE LONGER), IS HARDLY TO BE FOUND IN THE SO-CALLED "PRIMITIVE" RACES. PEO PLE TO WHOM THE CRIES OF BEASTS AND BIRDS SEEM LIKE HUMAN LANGUAGE HAVE TAKE N CARE, NECESSARILY, TO HEAR IT AS LANGUAGE; TO LIVE WITH IT AS LANGUAGE. TH IS ACCORD BETWEEN THE ONE AND THE OTHER SEEMS TO BE A PERFECTLY SUFFICIENT & BALANCED SYSTEM FOR THE SPECIES, HUMAN + OTHERWISE, IN QUESTION. SO WHAT I'V E BEEN SAYING ABOUT SOUND, MIGHT JUST AS WELL HAVE BEEN SAID ABOUT LANGUAGE. (IT MIGHT HAVE GIVEN THE SINGER A CLEARER PLACE TO BEGIN HIS AUDITION WITH). SURE IT'S CLEAR BY THIS TIME. I'M NOT INTERESTED IN WRITING SAFE, HIGH- DAMP MUSIC. BY THIS I MEAN 'ABSTRACT' STRUCTURED STUFF BEHIND WHICH ITS TRADITION ALLOWS ONE TO HIDE; PROVIDING REASONABLE JUSTIFICATOONS. (OVERKILLING THE NOT E, IS, AFTERALL, MERELY PART OF MUSIC HISTORY'S EVOLUTION). THEORIES (IN ONLY,